


#1



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 9:33:35 AM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 9:35:47 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:02:12

**IP Address:** 194.154.22.131

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

Consider pupils in rented accommodation. Families are often forced to move through no fault of their own. There may be no space for the older sibling at a more local school but there may be a space for the younger sibling on entering Reception. The parent would then have their children at different schools possibly some distance from each other.

---

#2



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 9:38:08 AM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 9:42:05 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:03:57

**IP Address:** 93.186.150.217

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**


No

---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I have 2 children already attending to the same school , third child is due to start school in 2 years time . If someone else get space instead of my child because lives closer how was i supposed to take children to 2 different schools . I don't find it fair and reasonable.

#3

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 9:41:23 AM**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 9:44:28 AM**Time Spent:** 00:03:04**IP Address:** 171.33.194.137

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes


## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.***Respondent skipped this question*

#4



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 11:26:07 AM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 11:27:05 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:00:57

**IP Address:** 86.21.66.42

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I live just over the 1.6km and this would cause lots of problems in getting both children to school if they attended different schools

---

#5



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 11:39:50 AM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 11:41:49 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:59

**IP Address:** 193.111.227.227

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

THE ISSUE WOULD NOT HAVE COME ABOUT IF THIS STUPID COUNCIL HADN'T SHUT FIVE SCHOOLS.  
THERE WOULD BE ENOUGH PLACES FOR EVERYONE

---

#6

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 11:17:50 AM**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 11:43:36 AM**Time Spent:** 00:25:45**IP Address:** 31.49.105.53

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

This is just ridiculous. I do understand that Havering is worrying about their school places however not enough thought has been put into this at all. I will not be moving and this does not affect me at all at the moment unless you start to muck around with senior schools as well which I think is where Havering is going with this.! 1.6 KM does not even cover the borough! So I could place my first child into a school move within the borough and then be not able to place my second child into the same school! I am one who agrees with local schools for local children however parents should also be allowed to move around especially within the borough. This proposal will stop the extremely small % of parents who move just for a school and then move out again straight away, but this again is a sledge hammer approach from Havering for what needs a reasonable thought out process. (just like the ludicrous ASBO's for bad parking and aggressive behaviour instead of Havering putting reasonable parking measures into place) Havering is intent on hitting all parents instead of the few who abuse everything. The local school has children coming from East Ham, Stratford etc. Ok so you may say that this needs to stop but 1.6KM does not even cover the borough. Why would you not at the very least say that the parents need to live within the borough boundaries? Please please do look hard at this as you are going to make a huge decision on behalf of families life's. I do feel that Havering is slowly taking all decisions away from the Havering schools and this is in part why they are becoming the worst schools in the country they have no control. Havering does not trust them at all and I have huge sympathy for schools, heads and teachers that have to put up with this control. Many people will have lived in Havering for decades will have moved within the borough during a second pregnancy have one child already in a school and Havering council wants to give priority to a family that has just been moved into one of the many flats that has been built that has never lived in Havering before with no children in said school! I know how that makes me feel.

#7



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 12:18:21 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 12:21:49 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:03:27

**IP Address:** 158.143.10.105

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**


No

---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

As a working mother, this kind of proposed change would make the school run even more problematic. It's difficult enough to get the children to school and get to work on time. Arguably this will hit women rather than men harder as it's still the majority of women who are responsible for the school run and to that effect you could be argued to be sexually discriminating against mothers.

#8



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 12:36:10 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 12:38:45 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:02:35

**IP Address:** 141.228.106.147

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

---


**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

How will parents cope with dropping off their children at two different schools during the rush hour and within such a short dropping off time slot? The older sibling who is already in a school should not be forced to change schools just because his younger sibling could not get a place in the same school.

---



#9



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 12:58:44 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 1:00:24 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:40

**IP Address:** 31.64.71.79

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

If a family has moved which means they are just outside this new distance limit yet still within the local area of this school it may lead to children being placed in different schools leaving the parent vertically unable to get them both to different schools for the same time.

---

#10

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 1:21:38 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 1:27:56 PM**Time Spent:** 00:06:18**IP Address:** 82.46.27.233

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,

A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I have four children two of which are in a havering school that when they got enrolled I live very near unfortunately due to unforeseen circumstances I had to move a bit further away which means now I have to drive them to school however if this comes in and my younger two get a different school there is no way they will all b in school on time in he mornings and that's not fair as my oldest two are never late and hate being late therefore we leave in plenty of time to get there in the mornings I feel u are punishing people that unfortunately where my circumstances did change why should now I have to affect the education of my children that are settled at there school and happy and are never late I can't possible be at two different schools in the mornings at the same time, that's not fair

#11

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 1:24:03 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 1:28:48 PM**Time Spent:** 00:04:44**IP Address:** 90.219.103.148

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

These proposals would cause great distress to my family and children under 5. My son is due to attend in sept 2018, I have a 5 year old in Year one, I work in havering, have family support in the form of picking up the children in havering and lived in havering all my life. I moved out of no control of my own. To refuse entry to my second son would affect my work, distress my older child by having to leave his school and friends as I would never be able to be at two schools at once and attend a job. I would also have no one to collect my children from school as no family live locally, the devastation would be endless. Please consider myself and other families personal predicaments before implementing such procedures.

#12



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 1:41:31 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 1:43:11 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:40

**IP Address:** 86.11.148.174

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

This could potentially create serious problems for parents who wish to move in the duration of their children's primary years. It would be practically impossible to have 2 primary aged children in separate schools.

---

#13



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 2:36:08 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 2:40:31 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:04:23

**IP Address:** 95.144.247.32

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

If people move out of the area they often keep children at same school in order to keep child settled. If sibling is unable to attend the same school they will have to take their child from the school in order to be able to do both school runs. Effectively you are forcing people to take their child from the school and move them if they have younger siblings because they will find it impossible to do 2 school runs at the same time.

#14

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 2:21:04 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 2:42:07 PM**Time Spent:** 00:21:02**IP Address:** 31.53.164.221

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I have a child who has just started in Reception this year. Only one primary school (Nelmes) is within walking distance of our house, however she did not get a place, and we were instead allocated a place at our second choice, Suttons, chosen on the basis of it being accessible to us by public transport, although it is more than 1.6km away.


From what I understand, my son, who is due to start school in 2019, will still be given priority at Suttons as his sister was admitted before the 2018 cut off. However, I am concerned that a family in a similar situation to ourselves, but with younger children, could be forced into a situation where the second child would not qualify under the maximum 1.6km rule, where the family did not have the option to send their first child to a school within this distance.

I am also concerned that many families who would be affected by this change are not included in the consultation process.

I propose an amendment, where the reason for the first child being sent to a school more than 1.6km away is considered, or where assistance is given to families allocated different schools so that the elder child is able to transfer to the school allocated to the younger child (in most cases this would not affect the infant class size rule).

As a qualified teacher and working parent, I am concerned that children will be routinely attending school late, due to parents having to travel between schools, and the detrimental affect this will have on their education.

#15



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 3:57:01 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 3:59:54 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:02:53

**IP Address:** 90.200.46.37

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

Parents cannot be expected to remain in the catchment area for a set period of time whilst their child attends a school that was close to their home on admission due to the fear of having to do two school runs at 9am. It's not practical

---

#16

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 4:00:20 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 4:00:40 PM**Time Spent:** 00:00:19**IP Address:** 108.171.128.165

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.***Respondent skipped this question*



#17

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 3:58:29 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 4:09:10 PM**Time Spent:** 00:10:41**IP Address:** 109.146.169.207

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

If this arrangement came into place it would have disastrous affects on my eldest children's educations. I have fallen pregnant with a third child and we are already living in a two bedroom flat with two children sharing a room. We have no choice other than to sell out property but simply have no possible way of funding a new property in the same locality.

We are forced to move to an affordable area (though undesirable and under privileged) so that our children are not living in cramped conditions.

If this change is implemented my sons will have to be moved from the outstanding school in years 2 (SAT tests) and year 5 which are both pivotal years, simply because there would be no possible way of taking and collecting 3 primary aged children to 2 different schools.


This proposal actually makes me feel so concerned for my children. We either live with 3 children in one small bedroom or we move and lose their education. They will be attending the best school in havering and my eldest is thriving there. The importance of their education cannot be downplayed.

The schools in our new location simply do not even compare.

We feel that because we cannot economically survive in our current location our childrens education and consistency will suffer. We also feel that children who are living 'deprived areas' which statistically shows they are already at a disadvantage from their more financially equipped families will have further restrictions placed on them at a time when we really should be opening the doors to them and not closing them.

Money should not be able to buy state funded education and this admissions criteria allows that. If you can afford the inflated property prices then your child can receive a better education.

#18

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 4:38:52 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 4:39:27 PM**Time Spent:** 00:00:34**IP Address:** 90.192.16.95

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes


## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.***Respondent skipped this question*

#19

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 4:45:53 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 4:46:17 PM**Time Spent:** 00:00:23**IP Address:** 82.39.69.238

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes


## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.***Respondent skipped this question*

#20



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 5:28:46 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 5:30:36 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:49

**IP Address:** 213.205.251.207

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I currently live 1 mile from the school which takes us under 15 mins to walk. I also attend the church and support the Sunday school attached to our school so would hate for my youngest child to miss out attending. I have two other children already in the school.

#21



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 6:54:50 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 6:59:38 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:04:48

**IP Address:** 90.199.43.56

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

How can A parent be in two places at once if there child does not get a place in the school as there sibling....! Then the schools will complain as a child will always be late for a school and then the council will want to impose charges for that as well ..! when they have implemented this new rule us as parents can never win.!

#22



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 6:58:31 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 7:00:25 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:53

**IP Address:** 82.39.71.113

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

How are parents meant to drop children at multiple locations and all of an age that cannot go without parental presence on the journey to school

---

#23

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 7:53:27 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 8:01:43 PM**Time Spent:** 00:08:15**IP Address:** 90.200.37.247

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**


No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I have a 4yr old (currently at a Havering primary school) a 2 yr old and am expecting a third child. We live in a 2 bedroom property.

With a third child arriving early in 2017 we will need to move to a larger property to make space for 3 children however the cost of property within 1.6km of the primary school my daughter attends are out of our price range meaning we are likely to move further afield. If the sibling rule gets cancelled my youngest will not be eligible to get into the same school and therefore I will be in a position where I need to drop off at 2 separate primary schools for 3 children, this will not be possible without having to pay for before school clubs. We will then be in a position of either compromising our children's home quality of life and staying in a small property over convenience of a single school drop off.

#24



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 9:02:09 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 9:03:51 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:41

**IP Address:** 31.50.163.149

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

Its is ridiculous to suggest that a parent can have two children in separate primary schools. The school run is hard enough without this sort of stupidity



#25



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 10:45:37 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 10:46:24 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:00:47

**IP Address:** 82.45.242.33

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident, A member of the Diocese

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

No

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

#26



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Monday, November 28, 2016 11:17:59 PM

**Last Modified:** Monday, November 28, 2016 11:24:34 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:06:34

**IP Address:** 86.178.227.19

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

We chose St Edwards Church of England Primary School as it is a faith based school. The selection criteria was not based on home address. I am incredibly concerned that my third child, who is due to start reception in September 2018, may be denied a place at the school her two elder siblings are at. A school we chose as it was a church school and there are no similar closer schools to us.

#27

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 8:37:55 AM**Last Modified:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 8:38:19 AM**Time Spent:** 00:00:24**IP Address:** 185.69.144.144

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes


## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.***Respondent skipped this question*

#28



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 9:05:56 AM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 9:11:49 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:05:52

**IP Address:** 128.41.59.14

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I think this would make it very difficult for parents with several children and blended families who maybe have to move a bit further away. 1.6 km is not far at all.

---

#29



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 12:19:50 PM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 12:23:28 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:03:37

**IP Address:** 85.115.52.201

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

As a working parent how would you expect me to arrange childcare to transport 2 children to 2 different schools? It is completely unworkable when young children need to be accompanied into school and collected from school again. Childcare is expensive enough and traffic bad enough that this is a completely ridiculous idea and unfair not only for parents but children aswell. It is unworkable to have 2 children at 2 schools just because of a large age gap. If you are a parent of more than 2 children you could end up having to transport to 3 schools at a time which would probably be impossible and therefore increase both poor attendance and timekeeping to your schools.

#30



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 4:08:03 PM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 4:09:35 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:31

**IP Address:** 5.148.138.38

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**


No

---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

It narrows the criteria too much and pushes up the likelihood of only someone wealthy enough to live closest to the school to attend.

#31



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 9:11:40 PM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 9:14:59 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:03:18

**IP Address:** 2.121.165.178

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

If a sibling attends a junior school, other siblings should automatically be enrolled. How on earth do you expect parents to travel to a minimum of 2 junior schools twice daily?

#32



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 9:14:17 PM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, November 29, 2016 9:15:25 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:08

**IP Address:** 92.13.132.54

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

---


**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

It is impossible for parents to drop off children/siblings at two or more different schools at the same time

---



#33



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Wednesday, November 30, 2016 10:22:00 AM

**Last Modified:** Wednesday, November 30, 2016 10:23:50 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:49

**IP Address:** 77.103.209.92

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident, A Governor

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

Sometimes circumstances for families change after the 1st child starts school and if there are family changes it may not be appropriate to change a child's school as well so it is then necessary for the younger siblings to attend the same school

#34



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Wednesday, November 30, 2016 11:13:50 AM

**Last Modified:** Wednesday, November 30, 2016 11:18:14 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:04:24

**IP Address:** 82.132.217.139

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I do not agree with the proposed change. How am I meant to get both children to school on time if they have to go to different schools? The change would be disastrous

---

#35

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Wednesday, November 30, 2016 11:21:33 AM**Last Modified:** Wednesday, November 30, 2016 11:27:50 AM**Time Spent:** 00:06:17**IP Address:** 85.115.53.202

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,

A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

how can you even propose this ludicrous idea? some parents are not given their first choice schools and therefore will live further than the proposed 1.6km from their school. What will happen if their other child does not get into that same school? how can a parent be expected to take 2 children to 2 separate schools at the same time? my sons school is further than 1.6km away from our house as that is the school place we got given, my neighbours sons school is even further, again, because that is the only school in Harold hill that had a place. the rules you are proposing would mean that if this was a couple of years time, my daughter and my neighbours other son would not get a place at the same school as their sibling. I thinks its absolutely disgusting. So many new housing developments going up in Harold Hill, will make it even harder to get a place for your child at the school closest to your home.

#36



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Thursday, December 01, 2016 1:22:33 PM

**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 01, 2016 1:25:13 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:02:39

**IP Address:** 81.148.127.206

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

It is already very difficult to handle the drop off and pick up schedule of working parents in particular with relation to the difference in offering for breakfast/interest and after school clubs. Imagine having to negotiate different drop off times for two or more children at different school - this proposal is crazy and unfair.

---

#37



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Thursday, December 01, 2016 1:51:07 PM

**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 01, 2016 1:54:41 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:03:33

**IP Address:** 157.84.1.3

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

Many parents have to go on to work after dropping their child to school, this proposed change will make it extremely difficult or virtually impossible for this to happen if they have two or more siblings in different schools!

---

#38



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Thursday, December 01, 2016 2:41:24 PM

**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 01, 2016 2:47:09 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:05:45

**IP Address:** 79.66.170.79

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

the problem of sibling not going to the same school means that this is an increased chance of them being driven to the difference schools and the added stress to get them there on time

---

#39

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Friday, December 02, 2016 10:20:21 AM**Last Modified:** Friday, December 02, 2016 10:23:08 AM**Time Spent:** 00:02:47**IP Address:** 88.98.93.196

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

No

## PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

It's hellish enough to try and get your child into your school of choice without having to go through all the stress of your subsequent children getting in. If children have siblings at the school, they should be allowed to attend the same school for their peace of mind. Children feel safer going to the same school as their siblings, they are used to the school to a certain extent having mostly been on the school run with their parent to take their sibling to school. To tell them they are going somewhere completely different and they won't have a sibling to look out for them for a while takes away their confidence and makes them worry.

#40



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Saturday, December 03, 2016 3:37:36 PM

**Last Modified:** Saturday, December 03, 2016 3:38:53 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:16

**IP Address:** 86.26.66.142

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident, A Governor

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**


No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

This change may mean that parents have separate schools for their children. It is irrelevant that it will not affect existing children at school. This is a poorly thought out modification and should not be implemented



#41

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Saturday, December 03, 2016 8:07:43 PM**Last Modified:** Saturday, December 03, 2016 9:10:26 PM**Time Spent:** 01:02:42**IP Address:** 151.226.9.0

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,

A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**


This proposed change will significantly and disproportionately impact working families and families from lower income groups.

It is impossible to get children to school on time if they attend different schools with similar starting times. Your proposal doesn't include any option to move the older child's school at the same time, however I would point out this is often very undesirable for the older child and some children (particularly those with difficulty forming secure relationships and friendships) would suffer.

Many families need to move home at times, and finding affordable homes in Havering in the right 1.6km radius is not always easy. Vulnerable families who rent or those who suffer financial hardship (e.g. job loss) may be forced to move outside the preferred area.

Your proposal unfairly and disproportionately impacts specific types of families without adequately addressing Havering's failure to effectively manage the school system in the borough.

#42

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Sunday, December 04, 2016 1:23:50 PM**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 04, 2016 2:21:24 PM**Time Spent:** 00:57:34**IP Address:** 2.27.15.182

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A member of the Diocese

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**


No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I have not as yet heard an employer say a bad word about those taken on with a background in St. Edwards. In general, people say good things about the school. As you should be aware, many of our laws come from the Bible and as recently stated by the Last P.M. and the current one, we are a Christian Nation (Bible says "Blessed is the Nation whose God is The Lord"). I have put three Children through St Edwards Schools because of my faith in CHRISTIANITY. As there are no Christian schools near me, St. Edwards was the first choice. Its ethos is Bible based, "Do to others as you would have them do to you" (Christ's teaching) you would cause great hardship to Christian parents who need their two/three or four children to be at the same school. This decision to change is wrong! In the borough of Redbridge, we as you know, have many faiths and cultures now and most have a faith background which makes (mostly) for good mindedness to fellow people.

The faiths differ in some ways and in the same way Jewish boys at my School in the 70s took themselves out of the Christian Assembly because of their faith (which if you are not already, aware, Christianity is rooted in). I wanted my Children to be within an environment where their faith is practiced. Incidentally in a twist of fate, my old secondary school is now a Jewish secondary school, complete with an Synagogue. God is still blessing Redbridge.

#43

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, December 05, 2016 5:44:47 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, December 05, 2016 5:45:17 PM**Time Spent:** 00:00:29**IP Address:** 85.255.235.211

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes


## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.***Respondent skipped this question*

#44



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 10:46:39 AM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 10:48:29 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:49

**IP Address:** 151.228.98.119

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

It is ridiculous to expect a parent to somehow drop siblings off at different junior schools at exactly the same time. This proposal is totally illogical.

---

#45



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 10:46:57 AM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 10:50:00 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:03:03

**IP Address:** 91.84.61.188

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident, A Governor,  
A member of the Diocese

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

Broadly in favour of the changes but I would extend the distance to 2km for Junior and Infant schools.

---

#46



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 11:18:00 AM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 11:19:42 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:42

**IP Address:** 80.169.201.83

---

PAGE 1

---

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A Havering resident

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

---

PAGE 3

---

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No


---

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

If you have children of school age you need to drop them at one school, Multiple drops are just not practical especially if you are going on to work afterwards.

---

#47



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 11:30:33 AM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 11:39:21 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:08:47

**IP Address:** 90.194.14.53

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

As long as parents 'completely understand' the criteria and the risk involved of getting in the second child if living further from the school. You can not have siblings in different schools either! I can understand though in people living right by a school not being able to get in, must be heart-breaking.

#48



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 12:47:15 PM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 12:52:40 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:05:25

**IP Address:** 2.223.195.165

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,

A Havering resident, A member of the Diocese

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**


No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

The catchment area changes year by year. Families may have to relocate for a number of reasons and strive to remain in the local area. Preference should be given to siblings unless the family has moved a considerable distance or falsifying their address.



#49

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 2:36:50 PM**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 2:37:10 PM**Time Spent:** 00:00:20**IP Address:** 86.19.121.216

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes


## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.***Respondent skipped this question*

#50



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 2:43:22 PM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 2:46:57 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:03:35

**IP Address:** 92.40.249.17

---

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,

A Havering resident, A member of the Diocese

---

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

---

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

Parents of primary age children cannot be in two places at once. Siblings must be placed together. Don't penalise parents for changes in school application numbers between years. This lacks common sense entirely.

#51



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 4:30:21 PM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 4:35:41 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:05:19

**IP Address:** 188.29.165.37

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A Havering resident

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

Why make a parent take their children to different schools just because boundary lines change. The logistics of getting children to different schools would be horrendous and moving the first sibling to this other school might not be an option either.

#52



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 4:50:56 PM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 06, 2016 4:56:19 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:05:22

**IP Address:** 81.152.91.193

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

No

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

As a parent of 2 (and wanting more). I feel that priority should be given to siblings. If I moved house and the sibling did not get into the same school as his older sibling, I would be expected to be in two schools at once at 9am? Moving children between schools would not be an option for me. As a havering teacher, I believe that children should remain at the same school, for their whole education either primary or secondary. This is very important for the best learning and social development.

#53



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Wednesday, December 07, 2016 10:00:14 AM

**Last Modified:** Wednesday, December 07, 2016 10:07:17 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:07:03

**IP Address:** 51.7.117.56

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident, A Governor,  
Another interested party (Please Specify)  
A student of education (doctoral level)

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

No

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I believe that there are very strong benefits (educational and practical) when siblings attend the same primary school, if that is the wish of their parents.

#54



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Wednesday, December 07, 2016 1:13:37 PM

**Last Modified:** Wednesday, December 07, 2016 1:17:21 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:03:44

**IP Address:** 90.200.153.26

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

Not sure why you are considering imposing this rather arbitrary distance of 1.6km. Surely if the siblings live within the school catchment area they should be prioritised? This should be decided by catchment area.

#55

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Tuesday, December 13, 2016 7:48:56 AM**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 13, 2016 7:49:21 AM**Time Spent:** 00:00:25**IP Address:** 92.19.187.56

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes


## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.***Respondent skipped this question*

#56

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Tuesday, December 13, 2016 6:26:03 PM**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 13, 2016 6:33:13 PM**Time Spent:** 00:07:10**IP Address:** 86.162.207.246

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I strongly disagree with this change in policy. It would be impossible to take your children to two different schools if you work for instance. You can't be in two places at once and schools all start/ finish at the same. It's even more difficult if you rely on the public transport to take the children.

Don't forget families living arrangements have changed over recent years. Many families are residing in privately rented accommodation and often having to move from one property to another. Long gone of the days when families owned their own home and resided in the property for many years. Something many families wished they could afford but due to the market value of the properties in Havering it's completely out of reach.


I feel the statistics of higher number of siblings in the school who live more than 1.6km is a reflection of society today and families living arrangements. Therefore I don't feel it's right that you penalise these families by stopping siblings having a priority for school allocation.

Also this change would have an impact on the sibling themselves. Going to school for children can be a difficult time and separating siblings can have a negative emotional impact. The younger sibling may feel there is something wrong with them for why they are not able to attend the same school their older brother/ sister attends. I know when my youngest daughter started school she was very nervous but she felt re- assured when she went into school with her older sister. I know if she was not able to attend the same school, my youngest daughter would have been very upset and it would have been very difficult for me to explain to a 4 year old that she was unable to attend the same school as her older sister due to the Council's allocation policy. A 4 year old would not understand this and may blame themselves that there was something wrong with them for why the school did not want them.

Havering Council should be concentrating their efforts in bringing the standard of all the schools in Havering up to Outstanding. To ensure all children in Havering have the best start in life and to achieve the best to their ability instead of the current situation of those children who have affluent parents obtain the best education ie attend an Outstanding school because their parents are able to afford the price tag that comes with living close to an Outstanding school due to there being so few in Havering in particular the Secondary schools. Until this is addressed you will always find people trying to find a way around the system and potentially committing fraud in the attempt to ensure their child has the best education. Like many good parents want for their children.



#57

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Wednesday, December 14, 2016 2:10:24 PM**Last Modified:** Wednesday, December 14, 2016 2:11:17 PM**Time Spent:** 00:00:52**IP Address:** 141.92.67.43

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes


## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.***Respondent skipped this question*

#58



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Wednesday, December 14, 2016 5:32:44 PM

**Last Modified:** Wednesday, December 14, 2016 5:39:56 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:07:11

**IP Address:** 94.13.148.246

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:**Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

It could cause a lot of disruption for the older siblings as they may need to transfer to the school the younger sibling gets a place at. It could mean a that to in September each year there are a lot of children in the years above Reception changing schools. Would the borough be able to sort it out so that all the older siblings who change to a new school have a place available for the start of the school year or would one child need to move to create a place for the next? It might be easier if any resident of Havering got the place over a child with a sibling living in a different borough.

#59



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Thursday, December 15, 2016 9:15:52 AM

**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 15, 2016 9:20:21 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:04:28

**IP Address:** 82.39.71.217

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

1.6 km seems too short a distance if you are using a straight line distance- 2km seems more reasonable. However I do also believe that all out of borough siblings should be excluded from the sibling rule, which in theory the new criteria would achieve

#60

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Saturday, December 17, 2016 10:48:17 PM**Last Modified:** Saturday, December 17, 2016 10:54:20 PM**Time Spent:** 00:06:02**IP Address:** 92.237.245.41

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I lived in Rainham and was renting a property a few minutes from a school. I wanted to buy a property and could not afford to live in Rainham, so had to move abit further away. My eldest daughter still attends the school in Rainham and I'm more than happy to take her daily to the school, also she doesn't want to change schools either. Since then I have had another child and she won't be attending school until 2019 and would like them to attend the same school, plus I physically can't be in two places at once. This proposal you have now brought up is stressing me out that I'm not going to get my two children into the same school?

#61

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, January 16, 2017 8:12:08 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, January 16, 2017 8:13:45 PM**Time Spent:** 00:01:36**IP Address:** 90.199.43.83

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

I understand what you are proposing and can agree to a point but how do you expect parents to travel to different schools every morning and have both of their children there on time. It's impossible.

#62

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Saturday, January 21, 2017 1:06:28 PM**Last Modified:** Saturday, January 21, 2017 1:16:30 PM**Time Spent:** 00:10:01**IP Address:** 213.205.251.239

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**


No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

This proposed change could be very difficult for those living in private rented accommodation. The situation my family is in is that we were given notice by our landlady when my son was at the end of reception year. Having lived in the same house for 5 years, we discovered when looking for a new place to live that rents for properties in the same area (Cranham) were £300-£400 more per month than we were paying. We started looking in Brentwood as we could get somewhere more affordable. Eventually we did find somewhere, deciding to pay £350 more which we can't afford but in the same area. Had we gone to Brentwood, under the proposed changes we would then have been ineligible to get my daughter into the same school. This change would really disadvantage people in private rental accommodation, who are pretty vulnerable anyway. They have no security and pay ridiculously high rents in this borough. We did not choose to move house, were not attempting to advantage our child by renting somewhere near a good school, but were forced to move against our wills. I have friends who rent who have been forced to move several times. This would be seriously difficult if this policy went ahead. If you proceed with this, there must be a Right of appeal for people like us, or at the very least a guarantee that older children will get a place at the school nearest the new address.

Not everyone owns their own home and those that don't often have very little choice, up against tight deadlines and skyrocketing rents.

#63



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Wednesday, January 25, 2017 9:54:37 AM

**Last Modified:** Wednesday, January 25, 2017 9:58:13 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:03:36

**IP Address:** 82.47.129.71

PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16 ,  
A Havering resident

PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

PAGE 3


**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

My biggest concern would be that my eldest two children would end up in one school and my youngest who is currently only four months would end up in a different school. If that were the case how would I get to two different schools in the morning? I currently live just outside the 1.6km radius of the school my eldest two attend and the closest school to me is very oversubscribed so my dear would be my youngest would end up in a school a considerable distance to me.

#64

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Monday, January 30, 2017 6:03:44 PM**Last Modified:** Monday, January 30, 2017 6:09:03 PM**Time Spent:** 00:05:19**IP Address:** 109.146.53.101

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Are you responding as:** Please select all of the below that apply.

A parent with a child aged between 2 and 16

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Do you have a child who already attends a Havering Infant, Junior or Primary School.**

Yes

## PAGE 3

**Q3: Are you in agreement to the proposed change to the sibling criterion?**

No

**Q4: Please add any comments that you wish the Local Authority to consider during this consultation.**

The proposals negatively affect those who live further than 1.6km. If they are hoping for a place for two children and they live further than 1.6 km they will not want to apply in case the second child doesn't get in. For people who live more than this distance from any school it becomes a lottery on getting their children to the same school and having children in separate schools can be costly in terms of paying for breakfast and after school clubs if they have no one to assist with taking the children to school.